



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 020273 9431 Yukiko Katoh 10/069,521 03/05/2002 **EXAMINER** 23850 7590 10/05/2004 ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP HARVEY, DAVID E 1725 K STREET, NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1000** 2614 WASHINGTON, DC 20006

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/069,52	10/069,521 KATOH, YUKIKO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	•	
		DAVID E H	IARVEY	2614		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutatutory period will apply and will will. by statute, cause the apply	nt, however, may a reply be ti story minimum of thirty (30) da I expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ication to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.	
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 September 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.			
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims		·			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-9 and 11-15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin The oath or declaration is objected to					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations See the attached detailed Office actions	y documents have bee y documents have bee s of the priority docume onal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No /ed in this National Stage	•	
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) 🛛 infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 3/5/2002.			Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/069,521

Art Unit: 2614

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kono et al. [JP2000-106657] in view of Fukushima [JP 57-1888184].

As is shown in figure 7, Kono et al. discloses a system which comprises:

- 1) First RF selection means (e.g. @ 3) for selecting an RF broadcast signal for the display of video;
- 2) Second RF selection means (e.g. @ 10, 14) for selecting an RF broadcast signal for the display of audio/sound;
- 3) First RF selection means (e.g. @ 3) for selecting an RF broadcast signal for the providing of linking data;

Application/Control Number: 10/069,521

Art Unit: 2614

4) Receiving means (@ 3, 10, 14) for receiving each of the broadcast signals;

- 5) Extracting means for extracting the video signal (@ 4,5), the audio signal (@ 11,12,14), and the linking data (26);
- 6) A display device (@ 6) for displaying video; and
- 7) An output device (@ 17) for displaying sound.

Claim 1 differs from the showing of <u>Kono et al.</u> only in that the claim indicates that the linking information is displayed as video.

In the prior art of <u>Kono et al.</u>, the downloaded linking information enables a viewer select (via input device 23) a linked radio broadcast as the source of audio over the audio of the TV signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have displayed such linking information on the video display device to desirably enable the viewer to confirm the receipt of supplemented audio broadcasts (e.g. as evidenced by <u>Fukushima</u>) and/or to allow

Application/Control Number: 10/069,521

Art Unit: 2614

the user to select a specific desired one of the alternative broadcasts.

3. Claims 2-9 and 11-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID E HARVEY whose telephone number is (703) 305-4365. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f from 9am to 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller, can be reached on 703-305-4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Application/Control Number: 10/069,521

Art Unit: 2614

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID E HARVEY
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2614

Page 5